



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,746	09/18/2001	Robert Parisi	10251-049	9490

21890 7590 11/03/2006

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1585 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10036-8299

EXAMINER

RINES, ROBERT D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3626

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/955,746

Applicant(s)

PARISI, ROBERT

Examiner

Robert D. Rines

Art Unit

3626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/17/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

[1] This communication is in response to the amendment filed 17 July 2006. It is noted that this application benefits from Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/233,503 filed 19 September 2000. The IDS statements filed 6 June 2002, 14 April 2003, 30 June 2005, and 17 July 2006 have been entered and considered. Claims 1-9 have been cancelled. Claims 10-28 have been added. Claims 10-28 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

[2] Claims 10-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Although Applicant remarks

that support for the amendments filed 17 July 2006 can be throughout the specification, and Applicant further submits that no new matter has been added hereby.

Examiner has further reviewed Applicant's Specification filed 18 September 2001 and submits that Applicant's newly added features including a computerized method that facilitates offering a customer insurance coverage, determining and issuing a quote, and upon customer accepting a quote providing an insurance policy as claimed in Applicant's newly added claims 10-20 are unsupported. Further, Examiner submits that Applicant's newly added claims 21-28, directed to a computerized system, method, and computer-readable media for mitigating internet-related risk by offering a customer user-selectable menus for selection of an insurance products and subsequently quoting, issuing, and servicing a policy are similarly unsupported by Applicant's Specification filed 18 September 2001.

Accordingly, newly added claims 10-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

[3] Examiner's previous rejection of claims 1-9 set forth in the Office Action mailed 13 April 2006 are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

[4] Claims 10-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by DiMattina (United States Patent #6,405,177).

Claims 1-9 have been cancelled.

As per claim 10, DiMattina discloses a computerized method for providing an insurance coverage to reduce potential liability associated with activities conducted on the internet, the method comprising: a. offering to a customer one or more insurance coverages for activities conducted on the internet (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54); b. in response to the customer selecting a set of insurance coverages from the one or more insurance coverages, providing a price quote for the set of insurance coverages (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54); and c. in response to the customer accepting the price quote, providing an insurance policy to the customer comprising the set of insurance coverages (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 11, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein the one or more insurance coverages comprises an insurance coverage for reducing a potential liability from at least one of advertising or marketing business conducted on the internet (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 12, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein the insurance coverage includes a protection against liability arising from material published, transmitted, disseminated, distributed, serialized, created, originated, exhibited, displayed, or any combination thereof via the internet in the course of business (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 13, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein the insurance coverage includes protection for alleged wrongful acts comprising libel, slander, product disparagement, trade libel, copyright infringement, title infringement, slogan infringement, trademark infringement, domain name infringement, trade name infringement, trade dress infringement, service name infringement, patent infringement, plagiarism, piracy, misappropriation of ideas under implied contract, or invasion, infringement, or interference with rights of privacy or publicity, or any combination thereof (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

Art Unit: 3626

As per claim 14, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein the one or more insurance coverages comprises an insurance coverage to reduce a potential liability from at least one of errors in professional services rendered on the internet, omissions in professional services rendered on the internet, content of professional services rendered on the internet, or any combination thereof (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 15, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein the one or more insurance coverages comprises an insurance coverage to reduce a potential liability from allegations of tortious conduct in the rendering of professional services on the internet (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 16, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein one or more insurance coverages comprises an insurance coverage to reduce a potential liability to a third party arising from a failure of network security that causes damage to the third party (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 17, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein the one or more insurance coverages comprises an insurance coverage to reduce a potential liability from a network security failure caused by cyber-attack, wherein the potential liability results from denial of services, loss of intangible assets, E-business interruption, cyber-extortion, computer attack rewards, crisis management, or any combination thereof (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 18, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein any of the one or more insurance coverages cover business conducted worldwide (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 19, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein offering to a customer one or more insurance coverages comprises offering a price quote for the one or more insurance coverages over the internet (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 20, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein the price quote is provided via a webpage (DiMattina; col. 4, lines 1-54).

Art Unit: 3626

As per claim 21, DiMattina discloses a computerized method for mitigating internet-related risk, the method comprising: a. offering to a customer via a user-selectable menu one or more insurance coverages for reducing liability associated with at least one of providing internet content, professional errors or omissions provided over the internet, third party network security, cyber extortion, loss of intangible property, business interruption, reward funds for reporting cyber criminals, funds for communications in times of crisis, or any combination thereof (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54); b. in response to the customer selecting a set of insurance coverages from the one or more insurance coverages, providing a price quote for the set of insurance coverages (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54); and c. in response to the customer accepting the price quote, providing an insurance policy to the customer comprising the set of insurance coverages (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

Art Unit: 3626

As per claim 22, DiMattina discloses a computerized method for reducing potential liability associated with conducting business on the internet, the method comprising: a. offering to a customer a user-selectable menu of insurance coverages (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54) comprising at least one of: i. a first insurance coverage for advertising or marketing business conducted on the internet; ii. a second insurance coverage for professional services rendered via the internet; iii. a third insurance coverage for protection against a third party liability for business conducted on the internet (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).; and b. in response to the customer selecting a set of insurance coverages from the user-selectable menu, providing an insurance policy comprising the set of insurance coverages (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

Art Unit: 3626

As per claim 23, DiMattina discloses a computerized method for mitigating risk associated with business conducted on the internet, the method comprising: a. offering via a user-selectable menu one or more insurance coverages for activities conducted on the internet (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54); b. in response to a user selecting a set of insurance coverages from the menu, performing a risk assessment based on the user's internet-related activities (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54); c. after the risk assessment is performed, offering a price quote to the user for the set of insurance coverages (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54); and d. in response to the user accepting the price quote, issuing an insurance policy including the set of insurance coverages (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 24, DiMattina discloses a computerized method wherein the one or more insurance coverages comprises at least one of a first insurance coverage for advertising or marketing business conducted on the internet, a second insurance coverage for professional services rendered on the internet, a third insurance coverage for protection against a third party liability for business conducted on the internet, a fourth insurance coverage for network security when conducting business on the internet, or any combination thereof (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54)..

Art Unit: 3626

As per claim 25, DiMattina discloses a computer program tangibly embodied in a computer-readable medium containing instructions operable to cause data processing apparatus to: a. offer via a user-selectable menu one or more insurance coverages for activities conducted over the internet (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54); b. in response to a user selecting a set of insurance coverages from the menu, offer a price quote to the user for the set of insurance coverages (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54); and c. in response to the user accepting the price quote, issue an insurance policy including the set of insurance coverages (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

As per claim 26, DiMattina discloses a computer program tangibly embodied in a computer-readable medium wherein the one or more insurance coverages comprises at least one of a first insurance coverage for advertising or marketing business conducted on the internet, a second insurance coverage for professional services rendered via the internet, a third insurance coverage for protection against a third party liability for business conducted on the internet, a fourth insurance coverage for network security when conducting business on the internet, or any combination thereof (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54)..

Art Unit: 3626

As per claim 27, DiMattina discloses a system for storing digital data and retrieving digital data from a digital memory, the data stored in and retrieved from a computer-readable media, the data being associated with an insurance policy including one or more insurance coverages for reducing liability associated for activities conducted on the internet and stored in response to an insured party purchasing the insurance policy, wherein the digital data is retrieved from the digital memory in response to one of a retrieval request of a claim against the insurance policy (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54)..

As per claim 28, DiMattina discloses a system wherein the one or more insurance coverages comprises at least one of a first insurance coverage for advertising or marketing business conducted on the internet, a second insurance coverage for professional services rendered via the internet, a third insurance coverage for protection against a third party liability for business conducted on the internet, a fourth insurance coverage for network security when conducting business on the internet, or any combination thereof (DiMattina; Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-16 and lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 1-30, col. 3, lines 56-67, and col. 4, lines 1-54).

Regarding the remaining features of claims 10-28, as per the recitation of various risks and associated coverages to be offered, the Examiner respectfully submits that the differences between the applied prior art are only found in the nonfunctional data material (i.e., the type of data/coverage specified) and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The steps of providing different coverages or coverage options would be performed the same regardless of the

Art Unit: 3626

data/types of coverage offered. The descriptive material does not alter the method steps or functionality of the method steps as currently claimed. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994). For further guidance, note MPEP § 2106, common situations involving nonfunctional descriptive material are: “a process that differs from the prior art only with respect to nonfunctional descriptive material that cannot alter how the process steps are to be performed to achieve the utility of the invention.”

Conclusion

[5] Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Rines whose telephone number is 571-272-5585. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RDR



10/29/06



C. LUKE GILLIGAN
PATENT EXAMINER